Filed 04/09/2008

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:08-cv-01810-JSW Document 14

TO PLAINTIFF AND HIS COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 16, 2008, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, before the Honorable Jeffrey S. White in Courtroom 2, 17th Floor, of the United Stated District Court for the Northern District of California, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Defendants United Parcel Service, Inc., Tony Agenjo, and Kimberly Muniz will move to remove incorrectly filed documents. The Court should remove the incorrectly filed documents because the documents should have been filed in the action entitled *Mark Harris v. United Parcel Service, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 08-0315 MMC ("Harris I"), before Judge Maxine M. Chesney. Defendants will re-file the documents in *Harris I* today.

Defendants base this motion on the instant Notice of Motion, Motion, and the record in this case.

DATED: April 9, 2008

PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

,

By: /s/ Kerri N. Harper

KERRI N. HAPRER

Attorneys for Defendants
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., TONY AGENJO,
and KIMBERLY MUNIZ

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO REMOVE INCORRECTLY FILED DOCUMENTS

2 Defendants United Parcel Service, Inc., Tony Agenjo, and Kimberly Muniz 3 (collectively "Defendants") respectfully request that the Court remove from the file in this case: 4 Docket No. 11— Administrative Motion Opposing Designation of Harris I (Case No. C-08-0315 5 MMC) and Harris II (Case No. C-08-1810 JSW) As Related (Chu, A.) (Filed on 4/8/2008); 6 Docket No. 12—Stipulation That Cases Are Not Related, Pursuant to Northern District of 7 California Civil Local Rule 3-12 (Chu, A.) (Filed on 4/8/2008); and Docket No. 13—[Proposed] 8 Order Designating Cases As Unrelated and Granting Administrative Motion Opposing 9 Designation of *Harris I* (Case No. C-08-0315 MMC) and *Harris II* (Case No. C-08-1810 JSW) 10 As Related (Chu. A.) (Filed on 4/8/2008). 11 Defendants filed the Administrative Motion and supporting documents they seek 12 to have removed from the docket in response to the Court's April 7, 2008 Sua Sponte Judicial 13

Referral for Purposes of Determining Relationship of Cases. These documents set forth Defendants' position that Harris I (Case No. 08-0315 MMC) and Harris II (Case No. C-08-1810 JSW) are not related. Plaintiff has so stipulated.

The Administrative Motion and supporting documents should have been filed in Harris I instead of Harris II. Defendants will re-file the Administrative Motion and supporting documents in Harris I today, April 9, 2008, and ask that they be removed from the docket in Harris II.

Defendants respectfully request that the Court find a hearing is not required and grant this motion based on this Notice of Motion, Motion, and the record in this case.

DATED: April 9, 2008 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

> /s/ Kerri N. Harper By: KERRI N. HARPER

Attorneys for Defendants UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., TONY AGENJO, and KIMBERLY MUNIZ

27

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

28